

How To Optimise Your Grammar in Three Levels

An Introduction to Stratal Optimality Theory

Kit Grigoleit

Leipzig University

11.05.2024

Outline

Introduction

1. Optimality Theory

Exercise!

2. Stratal Optimality Theory

Exercise!

Who is giving this workshop?

- ▶ 4th semester M.A. Linguistics, Leipzig University
- ▶ worked as research assistant on Stratal OT analyses & database

Why care about Stratal Optimality Theory?

- ▶ paradoxical or opaque data
- ▶ proposing contradictory rules and grammars for one language
- ▶ "solution": grammar is structurally hierarchical
- ▶ consists of different levels with different rules
- ▶ similar to syntactical phases, borders etc.

Why care about Stratal Optimality Theory?

Process	Stratum	Ranking
Deletion	Root/Derivation	ONSET » DEP-IO » MAX-IO
Epenthesis	Stem/Inflection	ONSET » MAX-IO » DEP-IO
Hiatus	Phrase/Compound	[DEP-IO, MAX-IO] » ONSET

Table: Victor Zimmermann's Stratal OT Michif Analysis

Why care about Stratal Optimality Theory?

Process	Stratum	Ranking
H-Deletion	Macrostem	ALIGN-L » IDENT-IO » ALIGN-R
Downstep	Inflection	ALIGN-L » IDENT-IO » ALIGN-R
No Vowel Harmony	Clitics	ALIGN-R » IDENT-IO » ALIGN-L

Table: Kit Grigoleit's Stratal OT Moro Analysis

Disclaimer

Constraints

- ▶ constraints are different from phonological rules as they are rather formulated as prohibitions
- ▶ for the scope of this workshop I formulated them closer to rules but in an actual analysis you'd need to formulate them like constraints

Stratal Re-Ordering

- ▶ The two stratal analyses chosen for this workshop do not have re-ranking of constraints for each stratum
- ▶ while these analyses show the possible "tricks" of a stratal analysis
- ▶ there are many analyses where different constraints have different priorities on different levels really showcasing the stratal structure of a grammar


1. Optimality Theory

Optimality Theory (OT)

morphophonological framework by Prince & Smolensky (1993), McCarthy & Prince (1993)

- ▶ Input: Phonological representation from morphology & lexicon
 - ▶ Lexicon & morphology: DOG + PLURAL
 - ▶ Phonology: /dɒg/ + /s/
- ▶ Constraints: phonological rules (universal, violable)
 - ▶ [-voiced] → [+ voiced] / [+ voiced] __.
- ▶ Output: phonologically modified morphosyntactic form
 - ▶ Output: /dɒgz/


1. OT Tableau Default

Input:/phonological representation/	CONSTRAINT 1	CONSTRAINT 2
a. candidate a	*	
 b. candidate b		*

1. Example A: English

(1) AGREE [*Voice*]

Obstruents in a cluster must agree in voicing. (Beckmann 1998)

Input: / dɒg + s /	AGREE [<i>Voice</i>]
a. dɒgs	*
 b. dɒgz	

1. Example B: Rules & Constraints

Language XYZ

- ▶ Data: $y + x$
- ▶ Language: wants letters organised in alphabetic order.
- ▶ Constraint: ALPHABET Letters must be in alphabetical order.
- ▶ Input: $y + x \rightarrow$ Output: $x-y$


1. Example B: OT Tableau

(2) ALPHABET

Letters must be in alphabetical order.

(3) IDENT-IO

Everything in the input must be represented in the output.

Input: /y + x/	ALPHABET	IDENT-IO
a. y - x	*	
 b. x - y		*

Exercise 1: Puzzle

English

- ▶ Data: eng. *bed* /bɛd/
- ▶ Language: Does not allow voiced obstruents in coda of a syllable.
- ▶ Input: /bɛd/ → Output: /bɛt/


1. Write a phonological rule (formal or informal)
2. Give it a cool constraint name.
3. Model it in an OT table.

Exercise 1: Solution

Rule: [+ voice] → [-voice]/__.

Constraint: **VoicedCoda* Obstruents must not be voiced in coda position.

Constraint: IDENT-IO(Voice) The voice feature in the input remain the same in the output.

Input: //b3d//	*VOICEDCODA	IDENT-IO(Voice)
a. /b3d/	*	
 b. /b3t/		*

2. Stratal Optimality Theory


Stratal Optimality Theory (Stratal OT)

morphophonological framework by Bermudez-Otero (1999) & Kiparsky (2000)

- ▶ **Stratification:** The phonological and morphology of grammar is organised into levels.
- ▶ **Level-ordering:** Each domain has a certain morphosyntactic and phonological properties.
- ▶ **Constraint ranking:** The constraints can (*not*) be re-ordered in different strata.
- ▶ **Affixes:** Affixes are specified whether what stratum they enter.


2. Stratal Optimality Theory: 1st Stratum

Language XYZ prefers for concatenation $y+x$ constraint ALPHABET:

Input: $/y - x/_{1stStratum}$	ALPHABET	IDENT-IO
a. $y - x$	*	
 b. $x - y$		*

2. Stratal Optimality Theory: 2nd Stratum

Language XYZ prefers for derivations with the constituent $[y-z]$ the constraint IDENT-IO:

Input: $/[z- [x - y]]/_{2ndStratum}$	IDENT-IO	ALPHABET
a. $[[x - y] - z]$	*	
 b. $[z - [x - y]]$		*

2. Stratal Optimality Theory: Default Strata

The three strata traditionally assumed are:

Stratum N°	Stratum
1	Stem Stratum
2	Word Stratum
3	Postlexical Stratum

Table: Classical Stratal Analysis

2. Stratal Optimality Theory: Exemplary Stratal OT Analyses

Exemplary Stratal OT Analyses

Language	Number of Strata	Author(s)	Stratifying Process
Nuuchahnulth	2 Strata	Stonham (2007)	Glottalisation
Albanian	3 Strata	Trommer (2013)	Stress
Tsetsóiné	6 Strata	Jaker & Kiparsky (2020)	Affixal Morphology

Table: Overview: Stratal OT Analyses

2. Stratal OT: Nuuchahnulth (Stonham 2007)

Nuuchahnulth (Wakashan, Canada)

- ▶ Data: (double) CV-reduplication
 - ▶ maa-maaɫ-yimɫ-ap but *maa-maa-maaɫ-yimɫ-ap
 - ▶ ya-yaq-hi **and** ya-ya-yaq-hi
- ▶ Language:
 - ▶ SUF.RED: requires CV-reduplication of the verb root
 - ▶ PL: requires CV-reduplication of the verb root
 - ▶ If SUF.RED & PL co-occur, CV of the verb root gets reduplicated **twice**.
- ▶ Input: SUF.RED-PL-long-at.the.limbs
- ▶ Output: ya-ya-yaq-hi 'the long-limbed ones'

2. Stratal OT: Nuuchahnulth (Stonham 2007)

Nuuchahnulth


- (4) *REDRED
No double reduplication.
- (5) IDENT-IO (FAITHIO Stonham 2007)
The input corresponds to the output.

Stratum N°	Constraints	
Stratum 1	*REDRED	IDENT-IO
Stratum 2	*REDRED	IDENT-IO


Table: Stratal Analysis Nuuchahnulth (Stonham 2017)

2. Stratal OT: Nuuchahnulth (Stonham 2007)

Nuuchahnulth: 1st Stratum

Input: /rɛð-yaq-hi/ _{1stStratum}	*REDRED	IDENT-IO
a. ya-ya-yaq-hi	*	
 b. ya-yaq-hi		

Nuuchanulth: 2nd Stratum

Input: /rɛð-[ya-yaq-hi] _{2ndStratum} /	*REDRED	IDENT-IO
a. ya-yaq-hi		*
 b. ya-ya-yaq-hi		

Exercise 2: Arabic

Arabic (Kiparsky 2000)

- ▶ Language Processes:
 - ▶ /i/-Deletion: Delete /i/ in open unstressed syllable
 - ▶ Stress: Put stress on penultimate closed syllable
 - ▶ 1PL.SBJ: -/na/ gets added at some point
- ▶ Data: /fíhim/ 'to understand' + /na/ = /fhím-na/

1. In what order do the 3 processes have to apply to get from /fíhim/ to /fhím-na/ 'we understood'?
2. Try to model the process ranking in an OT table.

Exercise 2: Arabic

Arabic (Kiparsky 2000)

Process	Form
	fíhim
	fíhim+na
Stress Shift	fihím+na
/i/-Deletion	fhím+na


Table: Process Ordering /fhímna/ 'we understood'

Exercise 2: Arabic /fhím+na/ OT table


- (6) STRESS (HEADMAX-BA Kiparsky 2000)
Stress is on the penultimate syllable.
- (7) No [i]
Delete /i/ in open, unstressed syllables.
- (8) IDENT-IO (**Max-IO** Kiparsky 2000: 7)
The input corresponds to the output.

Exercise 2: Arabic /fhím+na/ OT table

Arabic: 1st Stratum

Input: /fí.hím + na/ _{1st}	STRESS	No [i]	IDENT-IO
a. fhím.na	*		*
 b. fi.hím.na		*	

Arabic: 2nd Stratum

Input: /fi.hím.na/ _{2nd}	STRESS	No [i]	IDENT-IO
a. fi.hím.na		*	
 b. fhím.na			*

Reflection: Stonham (2007)

Stonham (2007): Nuuchanulth

- ▶ The constraint ranking remains the same over the two strata
- ▶ Reduplicating affixes get added incrementally (1st in 1st stratum, 2nd in 2nd stratum)
- ▶ The constraint *REDRED is always violated if two reduplicating affixes are attached in the first stratum and non-violated in the 2nd stratum.
- ▶ The "power" of this stratal analysis is therefore in the constraint *REDRED

Reflection: Kiparsky (2000)

Kiparsky (2000): Arabic

- ▶ The constraint ranking remains the same over the two strata
- ▶ The affix *-/na/* is attached at the very beginning.
- ▶ Going through the 1st strata the output undergoes the stress shift.
- ▶ This form is then put through the 2nd stratum where stress is no longer violated and no the constraint No [I] deletes the /i/.
- ▶ Problem: Technically */fhímna/* in the first stress is neither violating the STRESS nor No [I] constraint.





Summary

Thoughts?

Problems?

Ideas?

Thank you for your time and participating :)

-  Beckman, Jill N (1998). *Positional faithfulness*. University of Massachusetts Amherst.
-  Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo (1999). *Constraint interaction in language change: quantity in English and Germanic*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
-  Kiparsky, Paul (2000). “Opacity and cyclicity”. In.
-  Stonham, John (2007). “Nuuchahnulth double reduplication and stratal optimality theory”. In: *Canadian Journal of Linguistics/Revue canadienne de linguistique* 52.1-2, pp. 105–130.